

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,987	11/21/2003	Kazuhiko Kato	KASAP042 2722	
22434 75	590 12/13/2005	EXAMINER		
BEYER WEA	VER & THOMAS LLI	SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P		
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3683	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/718,987		KATO ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Christopher F		3683		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-8,10,13-15 and 25-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,9,11,12,16-24,31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	3/08) 5)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO:152) PRIMARY Elicitics		

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/29/05 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. The election requirement made in the previous Office Action with respect to the species and claims is maintained in this Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-4,9,11,12,16, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1 it is unclear whether applicant's intend this claim to be a product by process claim due to what appear to be method limitations present throughout the claim. Note that in such a claim only the structural limitations in the final assembly are given patentable weight.

It becomes unclear in the claim as drafted whether applicants are relying upon a method or process of forming or just the structure in the final assembly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-4,9,11,12,16-24,31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 6-69469 in view of Tanaka et al. '677 or JP 5-77637 and Mikoshiba et al.

Regarding claims 1,17 JP '469 discloses a cylindrical vibration damping device similar in structure to applicant's as readily apparent from the drawings. Note the interlocking engagement between the resin sleeve and the outer sleeve in the area of 16.

Lacking in JP '469 is the metallic mounting member (outermost sleeve) and the specific stepped arrangement.

However such minor alternative constructions are known in the art. Tanaka et al. In figures 33-36 or JP '637 (both previously relied upon) teach this.

Mikoshiba e al. is relied upon for the well known idea of bonding elements by vulcanization. See col. 2 lines 42-45.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have altered the shape of the stepped arrangement between the resin and mounting member of JP '469, as taught by JP '637 or Tanaka et al., merely as as obvious alternative interlocking arrangement.

The limitations of claims 2-4,9,11,12,16-24,31 are fairly suggested by the combined teachings of the references above.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4,9,11,12,16-24,31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 571-272-7123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim McClellan can be reached on 571-272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cps 12/7/05